# A Charged Fusion Product Diagnostic for a Spherical Tokamak

Proposal Defense

Ramona V Perez



#### Outline

- Background 1.
- 2. Experimental Design 4. Data Analysis
  - Mechanical Design 5. Timeline 1.
  - Simulations 2.
  - 3. Electronic & Data Acquisition Design

- 3. Data Collection

## **Confined Plasma**

- Poloidal & toroidal direction
- Temperature 10<sup>8</sup> K
- Magnetic field .5T



#### **Confined Plasma**





[Image 3]



#### **Tokamak Field Coils**



#### **Heating Methods**

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ohmic heating (induced current)

2. Neutral-Beam Injection (NBI)

And Radio Frequency (RF) (oscillating electromagnetic waves)

1. Background

6

[Image 8]

![](_page_7_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **DD** Reaction

3.5 Radiation losses

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 3.11 Velocity averaged cross section (i.e.,  $\langle \sigma v \rangle = R_{ij}/n_i n_j$ ) for the D-T, D-He<sup>3</sup>, and D-D fusion reactions as a function of temperature.

9

[Image 10]

## **Research Objective**

- Determine emission profile
  - Where are the d(d,p)t reactions taking place in the plasma?
  - At what rate are these d(d,p)t reactions taking place in the plasma?
- MHD instabilities
- Provides foundation for future work with spherical tokamaks

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### [Image 14] MAST RP Access Cube Clearance Diameter: 148mm CFPD Diameter: 111mm • CFPD Length: 201.7mm = 185mm + 1.7cm 0 6 Ø 0 [Image 13] 2.1 Experimental Design. Mechanical Design 16

#### 2.2 Simulations

- Orbit Code
- Recreate particle trajectory, or orbit, backwards in time

$$\epsilon_{sim} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{det}} S_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{total}} S_n}$$

 $S_n = emissivity \ for \ event \ n$ 

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Flux Surfaces

- Constant pressure
- Constant temperature

$$\epsilon_{sim} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{det}} S_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{total}} S_n}$$

 $S_n = emissivity for event n$ 

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

2.2 Experimental Design. Simulations

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

[Image 15]

Figure 11.9 Two flux surfaces, 1 and 2, at two different toroidal locations showing that the current flows between and not across them.

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

## **Other MAST Diagnostics**

 All diagnostics on the tokamak study the same plasma

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

FIG. 2. (Color online) Top view of MAST equatorial plane and of the prototype NC (right). (a) Vessel, (b) neutron shielding, (c) plasma boundaries, (d) rail, (e) lines of sight, (f) fields of view, and (g) NBI beams.

[Image 16]

#### 2.3 Electronics & DAQ Design

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

# MAST RP Linkbox

• 4 preamplifiers stored inside linkbox

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

- MAST will connect non terminated cable ends from preamplifiers to RP
- Bias supply and power supply cables to preamp will run into linkbox

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Hardware Storage

- 10m from MAST RP
  - 4 amplifiers
  - 1 NIM BIN
  - 1 rack mount computer
  - 1 PCI extension box
  - 4 power supplies for detector bias Voltage

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Data Files (HDF)

- Data file sizes
  - 23MB per channel per shot
  - 92MB per shot for all channels
  - 46GB for 10 days (2 weeks) data collection
  - 92GB for 4 weeks data collection
- Data Storage
  - 150GB onsite rackmount computer
  - 800GB FIU host computer

![](_page_26_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_10.jpeg)

16-bit integer, 30000000		
Number of attributes = 0		

Metadata

data (315032)

Log Info

🗀 id

## 3. Data Collection: Round 2

- Initial noise diagnostics and Electrical Design Review
  - January/March 2013
  - The Mega Amp Spherical Tokamak (MAST) at in the Culham Centre for Fusion Energy (CCFE) in the United Kingdom
- Diagnostic installation and subsequent data collection
  - May/ June 2013
  - The Mega Amp Spherical Tokamak (MAST) at in the Culham Centre for Fusion Energy (CCFE) in the United Kingdom

#### 4. Data Analysis

• Example of pulse signals, 5.5 MeV alpha particles

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

4. Data Analysis

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Emissivity

- Ratio of particles detected (counted) and MAST global yield rate proportional to below expression
- Simple models of emissivity will be fitted to data

$$\epsilon = \frac{\int A(\theta) d\theta \int_{orbit} S(\vec{r}) dl}{2\pi \int_V S(\vec{r}) dV}$$

 $S(\vec{r}) = emissivity \ at \ position \ \vec{r}$ 32  $A(\theta) = effective \ detector \ opening \ for \ entry \ angle \ \theta$ 

## 5. Tentative Timeline

TERM	GOALS
SUMMER 2012	Diagnostic design/ assembly/ testing
FALL 2012	Continue summer goal, apply for 2014 fellowship
SPRING 2013	Initial testing & Electrical Design Review at MAST
SUMMER 2013	Diagnostic installation and data collection
FALL 2013	Data analysis, dissertation, apply for 2015 fellowship
SPRING 2014	Data analysis, dissertation
SUMMER 2014	Write paper for publication, dissertation
FALL 2014	Dissertation defense, submit paper for publication

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **References-Images**

- Unreferenced images are created by author
- An image depicting the poloidal (red, called theta) direction and the toroidal (blue, called phi) directions. 13 September 2006. Made in POV-Ray by Dave Burke. PNG File.
- 2. European Fusion Development Agreement (EFDA). <u>Magnetic fields in a tokamak.</u> 2012. Garching, Germany. EFDA: Fusion. 08/01/2012. <a href="http://www.efda.org/fusion/focus-on/plasma-heating-current-drive/ohmic-heating/">http://www.efda.org/fusion/focus-on/plasma-heating-current-drive/ohmic-heating/</a>
- Jeffrey Freidberg. Cover. <u>Plasma Physics and Fusion</u> <u>Energy</u>. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- Spherical Tokamaks Image. 2009. Abingdon, Oxfordshire, UK. Culhman Centre for Fusion Energy: Research. 08/01/2012. <a href="http://www.ccfe.ac.uk/st.aspx">http://www.ccfe.ac.uk/st.aspx</a>
- 5. Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory. National Spherical Torus Experiment. Princeton, New Jersey. Alternative Energy Action Now. 09/01/2012.
   <a href="http://www.alternative-energy-action-now.com/spherical-tokamak.html">http://www.alternative-energy-action-now.com/spherical-tokamak.html</a>

- 6. Spherical Tokamaks Image. 2009. Abingdon, Oxfordshire, UK. Culhman Centre for Fusion Energy: Research. 08/01/2012. <a href="http://www.ccfe.ac.uk/st.aspx">http://www.ccfe.ac.uk/st.aspx</a>>
- <u>Tokamak Fields.</u> Encyclopedia of Earth.
   09/01/2012. imagehttp://www.eoearth.org/ article/Nuclear\_fusion\_power.
- 6. European Fusion Development Agreement (EFDA). <u>Heating of JET Plasmas.</u> 2012.
  Garching, Germany. EFDA: Fusion. 08/01/2012.
  <u>http://www.efda.org/fusion/focus-on/</u>

plasma-heating-current-drive/ohmic-heating/

7. <u>Fig. 1. Fundamental quantum mechanical</u> <u>phenomena.</u> 2012. Victoria Stafforda Psychic Investigation. 09/20/2012. <<u>http://</u> victoriastaffordapsychicinvestigation.wordpress. com/2012/07/01/line-19-a2a-semiconductorheterostructures-schrodinger-quantumconfinement-5g-wow-seti/fig-1-fundamentalquantum-mechanical-phenomena-a-electronreflection-and-interference-b-tunneling-effectc-e-quantum-confinement/>

#### **References-Images**

- Figure 3.11. Page 51, 2007. Plasma Physics and Fusion Energy. Jeffrey Freidberg. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- Side View of Assembled MAST Reciprocating Probe. CAD image created by the Culham Centre for Fusion Energy's MAST Drawing Office. 2012. PDF File.
- 12. MAST Reciprocating Probe Access Cube. CAD image created by the Culham Centre for Fusion Energy's MAST Drawing Office. 2012. PDF File.
- Side View of MAST Reciprocating <u>Probe.</u> CAD image created by the Culham Centre for Fusion Energy's MAST Drawing Office. 2012. PDF File.
- <u>Figure 11.8 and Figure 11.9</u>. Page 262, 2007.
   <u>Plasma Physics and Fusion Energy</u>. Jeffrey
   Freidberg. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- 15. Cecconello *et al.* <u>FIG 2.</u> MAST Neutron camera schematic. Rev Sci Instrum. **81**, 10D315 (2010).

- Scott Y. Allan. <u>MAST Reciprocating Probe.</u> Culham Centre for Fusion Energy. 2012. JPG File.
- Linkbox MAST Reciprocating Probe. CAD image created by the Culham Centre for Fusion Energy's MAST Drawing Office. 2012. PDF File.
- Please ask for user manual, CANBERRA
   2111 Timing Filter Amplifier.
- 20. Please ask for user manual, ADNACO S2 Fiber Optic PCI Bus Extender.
- Please ask for user manual, SuperMicro
   50161 MTF 1U Rackmount Server.
- 22. Please ask for user manual, CANBERRA3002D 0-3 kV H.V. Power Supply.
- 23. Please ask for user manual, Tennelec TB-3 NIM BIN with TC-911 Power Supply System.

#### References

- 1. J. A. Bittencourt, *FUNDAMENTALS OF PLASMA PHYSICS*. Springer Science + Business Media, LLC, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, 2004.
- 2. W. U. Boeglin, R. Valenzuela Perez, D. S. Darrow, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **81** (2010) 10D301
- 3. Hans-Stephan Bosch, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 61, 1699 (1990)
- 4. L. F. Delgado-Aparicio, et. al., J. of Appl. Phys. 102 (2007) 073304
- 5. Jeffrey Freidberg, Plasma Physics and Fusion Energy. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- Daniel H. Lo, Réjean L. Boivin, and Richard D. Petrasso Rev. Sci. Instrum. 66, 345 (1995)
- 7. J. D. Strachan, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 57, 1771 (1986)
- 8. S. J. Zweben, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 57, 1774 (1996)
- 9. S. J., Zweben, et al., Nucl. Fusion **35**, 893 (1995)

# Thank you for your time!

#### Questions

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Particle Motion**

• How do particles move in these magnetic fields?

$$Radius_{gyro} = \frac{mv_{\perp B}}{|q|B}$$

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Tokamak

• Facilitate nuclear reactions

$$Potential_{Coulomb} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q_1 q_2}{r}$$

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)

. .

## Gyro radius

$$Radius_{gyro} = \frac{mv_{\perp B}}{|q|B}$$

$$m\frac{dv_{\perp B}}{dt} = qv_{\perp B} \times B$$

$$m\frac{dv_{\perp B}}{dt} = \frac{mv_{\perp B}^2}{Radius_{gyro}}$$

#### Collimator

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 1. Solid angle subtended by a detector of area D without (right) and with a cylindrical (middle) and a conical (left) collimator.

![](_page_44_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Transformer

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

Copyright UOregon

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Electronics Schema**

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Module Exploded View with Bases

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)